how can you use quantum noise as a source for positive aspect as a quantum decision making algorithm? It needs to be a source for providing probabilistic decision tree etc.

I'll now provide a comprehensive technical report on using quantum noise as a computational resource for quantum decision-making algorithms with probabilistic decision trees.

Leveraging Quantum Noise as a Computational Resource for Quantum Decision-Making Algorithms

Executive Summary

Quantum noise, traditionally viewed as an impediment to quantum computation, can be strategically exploited as a computational resource in quantum decision-making algorithms. This paradigm shift transforms amplitude damping, phase noise, and other decoherence mechanisms into probabilistic elements that enable quantum systems to generate decision trees, perform stochastic sampling, and implement Boltzmann-like distributions for optimization tasks. Recent advances in quantum noise-induced reservoir computing, noise-assisted digital quantum simulation, and quantum decision trees with information entropy demonstrate that carefully controlled noise can enhance algorithmic performance in the noisy intermediate-scale quantum (NISQ) era.[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]

1. Introduction: Paradigm Shift from Noise Mitigation to Noise Exploitation

1.1 Traditional Perspective on Quantum Noise

Historically, quantum noise has been considered detrimental to quantum computation, causing:

- Decoherence and loss of quantum advantage
- Exponential error accumulation in deep circuits
- Barren plateaus in variational quantum algorithms[9] [10]
- Reduced circuit fidelity and gate errors

1.2 Emerging Paradigm: Noise as a Resource

Recent research demonstrates that specific types of quantum noise can be harnessed constructively: [11] [2] [12] [13] [5] [1]

Nonunital noise (particularly amplitude damping) exhibits directional bias that can extend quantum computation depth beyond classical expectations. IBM Quantum researchers showed that nonunital noise can enable measurement-free error correction through RESET protocols, allowing longer computations on noisy devices. [13]

Controlled decoherence can be manipulated to selectively enhance or reduce decoherence rates in quantum circuits to achieve desired simulation of open-system dynamics. This approach turns noise from a "bug" into a "feature". [5]

2. Theoretical Foundations

2.1 Quantum Probability and Decision Theory

Quantum decision-making leverages fundamental quantum mechanical principles: [14] [15] [16]

Superposition: Decision-makers can consider multiple options simultaneously, exploring the entire decision space in parallel. [14]

Quantum interference: Probability amplitudes interfere constructively or destructively, naturally weighting decision pathways. [17] [14]

Non-commutativity: Sequential decisions exhibit order-dependence, accurately modeling human cognitive biases and context-dependent choices. [15] [16]

Entanglement: Interconnected decision variables exhibit correlations that classical probability cannot capture. [14]

2.2 Quantum Noise Types and Their Roles

Different noise channels contribute distinct probabilistic characteristics:

Amplitude Damping Noise: Models energy dissipation from qubits to environment. Characterized by damping parameter γ, it can be beneficial for machine learning tasks and serves as a resource for solving differential equations. [3] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]

Phase Damping Noise: Causes loss of quantum phase information without energy loss. Should generally be mitigated rather than exploited. [18] [3]

Depolarizing Noise: Randomly applies Pauli operators, creating a uniform mixture. While generally detrimental, controlled depolarizing noise can be used for certain sampling tasks. [3]

3. Core Mechanisms for Using Quantum Noise in Decision-Making

3.1 Quantum Random Number Generation from Noise

Quantum noise provides a fundamental source of genuine randomness for probabilistic decision-making: [23] [24] [25] [26] [27] [28] [29] [30] [31]

Vacuum Shot Noise: Measuring quadratures of vacuum states yields Gaussian-distributed random numbers. The quantum uncertainty $\Delta X = 1/2$ in any field quadrature provides entropy. [26] [28] [23]

Phase Noise from Lasers: Quantum phase fluctuations of laser diodes near threshold provide high-bandwidth entropy sources. Phase noise QRNGs can achieve generation rates exceeding 1 Gbps. [27] [32] [33] [29]

Amplified Spontaneous Emission: ASE noise is intrinsically quantum mechanical and can be measured at high bandwidth without shot-noise-limited detection constraints. [28]

These quantum noise sources provide the probabilistic "coin flips" necessary for branching in decision trees, generating random walks through decision spaces, and sampling from probability distributions.

3.2 Quantum Noise-Induced Reservoir Computing (QNIR)

QNIR uses reservoir noise as a resource to generate expressive, nonlinear signals efficiently learned with a linear output layer: [34] [1] [3]

Framework: A quantum reservoir (typically a quantum circuit) experiences intrinsic hardware noise or programmed artificial noise models. The noise-induced dynamics create a rich, high-dimensional feature space. [34]

Tunable Noise Models: Parameterized noise channels (amplitude damping, dephasing) are programmed to the quantum reservoir circuit and fully controlled for effective optimization. [34]

Performance Enhancement: Amplitude damping noise can improve performance of quantum reservoir computing for machine learning tasks, while depolarizing and phase damping should be minimized. [18] [3]

Application to Decision-Making: The reservoir's noisy dynamics can be interpreted as exploring multiple decision pathways simultaneously, with the linear readout layer implementing the final decision policy.

3.3 Stochastic Quantum Algorithms

Stochastic quantum algorithms incorporate randomness directly into their operation: [35] [36] [37] [38] [39]

qDrift Protocol: Builds random product formulas by sampling from Hamiltonian terms according to their coefficients. By unifying qDrift with importance sampling, arbitrary probability distributions can be sampled while controlling bias and statistical fluctuations. [36] [38]

Stochastic Quantum Sampling: Quantum algorithms for sampling from non-logconcave probability distributions $\pi(x) \propto \exp(-\beta f(x))$ using quantum simulated annealing on Markov chains derived from unadjusted Langevin algorithms. These achieve polynomial speedups over classical methods for complex probability distributions.[37] [35]

Quantum Monte Carlo Sampling: Quantum annealing can serve as an efficient low-temperature sampling method, generating configurations with high Boltzmann weight. This is particularly effective where classical Metropolis Monte Carlo suffers from high rejection rates.[40]

4. Quantum Decision Tree Implementations

4.1 Information-Theoretic Quantum Decision Trees

Recent work presents classification algorithms for quantum states inspired by decision-tree methods, adapted for the probabilistic nature of quantum measurements:[4] [6]

Information Gain Optimization: For each measurement shot on an unknown quantum state, the algorithm selects the observable with the highest expected information gain, using conditional probabilities.[6] [4]

Algorithm Structure:

1. Start with a uniform prior distribution over candidate quantum states
2. At each node, compute expected information gain for all available observables
3. Measure the observable with maximum expected information gain
4. Update posterior distribution using Bayesian inference
5. Continue until convergence or maximum depth reached

Expected Information Gain: The information gain I is proportional to the variance of the observable's expectation values over candidate states: $I \propto \mathrm{Var}[\langle O \rangle]$. As system size increases, this variance is exponentially suppressed, posing challenges analogous to barren plateaus.[6]

Physically-Motivated Observables: Using problem-specific observables rather than generic Haar-random measurements significantly improves classification performance on real quantum hardware.[6]

4.2 Quantum Decision Trees with Classical Probability Distributions

Implementation of classical decision trees under quantum computing paradigm:[41]

Quantum Superposition of Paths: Unlike classical decision trees that traverse one path per sample, quantum decision trees can traverse multiple paths simultaneously using superposition.

Probabilistic Inference: Quantum decision trees provide efficiency improvements for probabilistic inference with respect to classical counterparts, particularly for handling missing or uncertain data.[41]

Quantum Decision Forests: Ensembles analogous to classical random forests can be constructed, improving interpretability of variational quantum circuits. [41]

4.3 Quantum Probability Trees

Quantum Probability Trees (Q-Prob Trees) represent probabilistic systems using quantum amplitude formalism: [17]

Node Structure: Each node represents a possible quantum state; each branch carries a probability amplitude (not just probability).

Quantum Interference: When multiple branches lead to the same outcome, amplitudes interfere constructively (amplifying likely outcomes) or destructively (canceling unlikely ones). [17]

Amplitude Evolution: The tree doesn't simulate static randomness but models how probabilities evolve quantum-mechanically through amplitude dynamics. [17]

Applications: Quantum probabilistic modeling, quantum decision theory, quantum reinforcement learning, quantum financial simulations, quantum reasoning in AI systems. [17]

5. Key Algorithmic Frameworks

5.1 Quantum Boltzmann Machines (QBMs)

QBMs generalize classical Boltzmann machines using quantum Hamiltonians with non-commuting terms: [42] [43] [44] [45]

Quantum Hamiltonian: $H = -\sum_i h_i\sigma_i^z - \sum_{ij} J_{ij}\sigma_i^z\sigma_j^z - \Gamma\sum_i\sigma_i^x$, where the transverse field Γ introduces quantum tunneling. [44] [45]

Thermal State Encoding: The Gibbs state $\rho = \exp(-\beta H)/Z$ encodes the probability distribution, where quantum effects enrich the model beyond classical capabilities. [43] [44]

Training with Noise: QBMs can be trained using NISQ devices where intrinsic hardware noise or programmed noise models contribute to the thermal sampling. The Golden-Thompson bound provides tractable upper bounds for the non-commutative loss function. [42] [43]

Probabilistic Annealing: Virtual connections and probabilistic annealing enable efficient factorization and combinatorial optimization by allowing systems to escape local minima through quantum fluctuations. [46]

Decision-Making Application: QBMs naturally implement probabilistic decision policies by sampling from the learned distribution, with noise assisting the exploration of decision space.

5.2 Quantum Annealing with Noise

Quantum annealing can maintain scalable quantum speedups despite noise through specific protocols:[47] [48]

RFQA (Random Field Quantum Annealing): Incorporates random but coherent low-frequency oscillations in transverse field directions. This produces quantum speedup resilient to:[47]

- 1/f-like local potential fluctuations
- Local heating from finite temperature baths
- Bath-assisted quantum phase transitions (which can actually accelerate the algorithm)[47]

Noise-Enhanced Performance: Sufficient suppression of thermal noise allows quantum annealing to outperform classical algorithms significantly. The interplay between quantum tunneling and thermal activation creates unique optimization trajectories.[48]

Low-Temperature Sampling: Quantum annealers excel at low-temperature regime where classical Metropolis Monte Carlo suffers from high rejection rates. The annealer directly accesses low-energy configurations contributing most to the partition function.[40]

5.3 Variational Quantum Algorithms with Noise Resilience

VQAs exhibit surprising noise resilience properties relevant for decision-making:[49] [50] [51]

Parameter Resilience: Optimal variational parameters often remain unaffected by noise, though training landscapes may develop barren plateaus.[50] [9]

Overparameterization Benefits: Including redundant parameterized gates makes quantum circuits more resilient to noise by providing parameter degeneracy—multiple parameter sets achieving the same noiseless state, with some significantly more noise-resilient.[51] [49]

Noise Mitigation Through Variational Adaptation: VQAs naturally mitigate noise effects by adapting optimized parameters during training.[49] [51]

Application to Decision Trees: Variational circuits can implement adaptive decision boundaries, with noise contributing to exploration of the parameter landscape.

5.4 Quantum Walks as Probabilistic Exploration

Quantum walks provide a framework for probabilistic exploration of decision spaces:[52] [53] [54] [55] [56] [57] [58] [59]

Discrete-Time Quantum Walks (DTQW): Uses coin operator C and shift operator S, where $U = S \cdot C$ implements one step. The walker exists in superposition of positions with complex amplitudes.[55] [56]

Quantum Stochastic Walks (QSW): Generalization bridging classical random walks and quantum walks, allowing tunable interpolation between purely classical and purely quantum behavior.[53]

Spreading Characteristics: Quantum walks exhibit quadratic speedup in spreading (standard deviation grows as √N) compared to classical random walks (√N).[56] [59]

Probability Distribution Generation: Quantum walks can generate arbitrary probability distributions through appropriate choice of time- and site-dependent coin operators.[52]

Decision-Making Applications:

- Spatial search for marked nodes (analogous to searching decision tree for optimal decisions) [57]
- Graph traversal representing navigation through decision spaces[55]
- Uniform sampling over decision alternatives[60]

6. Practical Implementation Strategies

6.1 Noise Characterization and Control

Noise Tomography: Characterize the noise affecting physical qubits to understand available noise resources.[2] [5] [34]

Selective Noise Enhancement: Use identity gates, longer waiting times, or specific gate sequences to amplify noise in desired qubits.[2] [5]

Selective Noise Reduction: Apply error mitigation techniques (e.g., zero-noise extrapolation, probabilistic error cancellation) to reduce noise in qubits where coherence is critical.[5] [2]

Programmable Noise Channels: In quantum simulators and future fault-tolerant devices, noise can be coded as instructions (quantum channels) alongside quantum gates.[34]

6.2 Hybrid Quantum-Classical Architectures

Quantum Sampling, Classical Processing: Use quantum circuits with noise to generate samples from complex distributions, then process samples classically for decision-making.[61] [46]

Generative Neural Samplers: Train classical neural networks on quantum samples to create efficient surrogates that emulate quantum outputs, lifting circuit constraints while maintaining quantum advantages.[61]

Variational Optimization: Use classical optimizers to train quantum circuit parameters, with noise contributing to exploration during optimization.[51] [49]

6.3 Algorithm Design Principles

Design for NISQ Era:

- Prefer shallow circuits with high expressiveness
- Use noise-resilient ansatze with overparameterization[49]
- Employ error mitigation strategies[62]

- Target problems where noise assists rather than hinders[1] [3] [5]

Noise-Aware Cost Functions:

- Design objectives that are insensitive to certain noise types[50]
- Use ensemble averaging over noisy circuits
- Incorporate noise parameters into cost function[34]

Probabilistic Decision Policies:

- Generate multiple noisy samples for each decision
- Use ensemble voting or weighted averaging
- Implement Bayesian updating with measurement outcomes[4] [6]

7. Applications and Use Cases

7.1 Classification and Pattern Recognition

Quantum State Classification: Use information-optimized decision trees to classify unknown quantum states.[4] [6]

Quantum Reservoir Computing for Time Series: Noisy quantum reservoirs can predict time series data with performance comparable to or exceeding classical methods.[34]

Ground State Identification: Classify ground states of various Hamiltonians using physically-motivated observables.[6]

7.2 Optimization and Combinatorial Problems

Quantum Approximate Optimization (QAOA): Output distributions approximately follow Boltzmann distributions with effective temperature dependent on circuit depth. Noise contributes to probabilistic sampling from near-optimal solutions.[63]

Prime Factorization: Probabilistic Boltzmann machines with virtual connections solve factorization through energy minimization.[46]

Branch-and-Bound Acceleration: Quantum algorithms accelerate classical branch-and-bound with near-quadratic speedup by exploring multiple branches in superposition.[64]

7.3 Sampling and Monte Carlo Methods

Quantum-Enhanced MCMC: Quantum sampling serves as proposal distribution for Markov Chain Monte Carlo, accelerating convergence.[61] [40]

Low-Temperature Statistical Mechanics: Quantum annealers efficiently sample Boltzmann distributions at low temperatures where classical methods struggle.[40]

Partition Function Estimation: Stochastic quantum algorithms estimate partition functions for complex distributions.[35] [37]

7.4 Machine Learning and AI

Quantum Reinforcement Learning: Noise can be exploited in quantum reinforcement learning, where unavoidable noise may present opportunities to enhance learning processes. [22] [65]

Generative Modeling: Quantum Boltzmann machines and Born machines use quantum states to model classical data distributions. [66] [67] [45]

Cognitive Decision Modeling: Quantum circuits implement models of human decision-making that violate classical probability rules, capturing order effects and context dependence. [16]

8. Challenges and Limitations

8.1 Noise Control Precision

Calibration Requirements: Exploiting noise requires precise characterization and control, which can be challenging on real hardware. [2] [5]

Noise Variability: Quantum device noise fluctuates over time, requiring continuous recalibration. [7] [13]

Limited Noise Channels: Current devices offer limited control over specific noise types and parameters. [5]

8.2 Scalability Issues

Exponential Suppression: Information gain in quantum decision trees suffers exponential suppression with system size, analogous to barren plateaus. [6]

Qubit Count Limitations: NISQ devices currently limited to hundreds to ~1000 qubits. [68] [7]

Coherence Time Constraints: Noise-assisted algorithms must complete within device coherence times. [8] [7]

8.3 Algorithm-Specific Limitations

Noise Type Sensitivity: Beneficial effects often specific to particular noise types (e.g., amplitude damping beneficial, phase damping detrimental). [3] [18]

Threshold Effects: Noise benefits typically exist only within specific noise level ranges; too little noise provides insufficient randomness, too much overwhelms quantum advantage. [51] [49]

Classical Competition: For some problems, improved classical algorithms on noisy data may outperform quantum approaches. [7]

8.4 Theoretical Gaps

No-Go Results: Fundamental limitations exist for purely quantum decision-making agents due to no-cloning theorem and measurement back-action. Practical agents require hybrid quantum-classical architectures.[69]

Approximation Fidelity: Approximate quantum cloning techniques for model replication accumulate errors quickly.[69]

Decoherence vs. Advantage: Tuning decoherence to balance classical anchoring with quantum exploration remains an open challenge.[69]

9. Future Directions

9.1 Near-Term NISQ Applications

Error Mitigation Integration: Combine noise-as-resource approaches with error mitigation for optimal performance.[10] [62]

Hybrid Classical-Quantum Decision Systems: Co-design quantum sampling modules with classical decision logic.[70] [61]

Domain-Specific Noise Engineering: Tailor noise profiles for specific decision-making tasks in finance, logistics, chemistry.[71] [72] [14]

9.2 Intermediate-Scale Developments

Quantum Decision Forests: Scale quantum decision trees to ensembles for improved robustness and accuracy.[41]

Adaptive Noise Control: Real-time adjustment of noise parameters based on problem structure and optimization progress.[62] [34]

Multi-Agent Quantum Decision Systems: Explore entanglement between multiple decision-making quantum agents.[14]

9.3 Long-Term Fault-Tolerant Era

Programmable Dissipation: In fault-tolerant quantum computers, dissipative operations (controlled noise) can be programmed as logical instructions alongside unitary gates.[5] [34]

Quantum-Classical Hybrid Intelligence: Oscillate between coherent quantum exploration and classical consolidation, mimicking biological neural processing.[69]

Universal Noise-Assisted Computing: Develop theoretical frameworks for universal quantum computing that incorporates noise as a first-class computational primitive rather than error.

10. Recommended Implementation Workflow

For researchers and practitioners developing quantum decision-making algorithms using noise:

Phase 1: Problem Formulation

1. Identify decision problem structure (tree depth, branching factor, constraints)
2. Determine required probability distributions
3. Assess whether quantum noise can provide advantage over classical randomness

Phase 2: Noise Characterization
4. Characterize noise profile of available quantum hardware or simulator
5. Identify which noise channels are beneficial for the problem
6. Determine required noise control precision

Phase 3: Algorithm Design
7. Select appropriate framework (QNIR, QBM, quantum walks, variational algorithm)
8. Design circuit architecture balancing depth vs. noise accumulation
9. Implement noise-aware cost function or objective

Phase 4: Implementation and Optimization
10. Implement on quantum hardware or high-fidelity simulator
11. Tune noise parameters and circuit hyperparameters
12. Apply error mitigation where noise is detrimental
13. Benchmark against classical baselines

Phase 5: Validation and Scaling
14. Validate decision quality on test problems
15. Analyze noise sensitivity and robustness
16. Scale to larger problems within hardware constraints

11. Conclusion

Quantum noise represents a paradigm-shifting computational resource for quantum decision-making algorithms. By strategically exploiting amplitude damping, phase noise, and controlled decoherence, quantum systems can generate probabilistic decision trees, perform efficient stochastic sampling, and implement Boltzmann-like distributions for optimization. Key mechanisms include quantum noise-induced reservoir computing, information-optimized quantum decision trees, quantum Boltzmann machines, and noise-resilient variational quantum algorithms. [45] [43] [44] [1] [50] [3] [4] [49] [51] [6] [34]

The transition from viewing noise as an obstacle to leveraging it as a resource fundamentally changes quantum algorithm design for the NISQ era. Amplitude damping noise, in particular, provides directional bias that can extend quantum computational advantages, while quantum random number generation from fundamental quantum noise sources supplies genuine randomness for probabilistic branching. [29] [13] [23] [28] [8] [7]

Practical implementations require careful noise characterization and control, hybrid quantum-classical architectures, and algorithm designs tailored to beneficial noise regimes. Applications span classification, optimization, Monte Carlo sampling, and machine learning, with quantum walks providing a unifying framework for probabilistic exploration of decision spaces.[65] [59] [22] [63] [45] [70] [46] [52] [55] [2] [3] [4] [49] [61] [40] [5] [6] [34]

Despite challenges including noise control precision, scalability limitations, and algorithm-specific sensitivities, the strategic exploitation of quantum noise opens new pathways for practical quantum computing applications. As quantum hardware evolves toward fault-tolerance, programmable noise channels will become first-class computational primitives, enabling sophisticated quantum decision systems that balance coherent quantum exploration with noise-induced classical consolidation.[5] [69] [34]

The future of quantum decision-making lies not in eliminating noise, but in understanding, controlling, and ultimately harnessing it as a fundamental computational resource.

⁂

1. http://arxiv.org/abs/2207.07924
2. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2302.14592.pdf
3. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10232431/
4. https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.11412
5. https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PRXQuantum.4.040329
6. https://arxiv.org/html/2502.11412v3
7. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Noisy_intermediate-scale_quantum_computing
8. https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.08448
9. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-021-27045-6
10. https://quantum-journal.org/papers/q-2025-01-30-1617/
11. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2210.12138.pdf
12. https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.21075
13. https://thequantuminsider.com/2025/09/13/ibm-scientists-challenge-quantums-noise-barrier/
14. https://msi-international.com/quantum-decision-making-techniques-strategies/
15. https://ethz.ch/content/dam/ethz/special-interest/mtec/chair-of-entrepreneurial-risks-dam/documents/entropy-11-01073.pdf
16. https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.03012
17. https://www.linkedin.com/posts/senthil-kumar-j-84392418b_quantumcomputing-quantumai-quantumdatastructures-activity-7383152577950945280-khwq
18. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2301.06814.pdf
19. https://repositorio.usp.br/bitstreams/99157efd-3d76-46b0-a667-49382c1eff72
20. https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.107.012431
21. https://www.nature.com/articles/s42005-021-00524-4
22. https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.24069
23. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7517154/
24. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2409.20515.pdf
25. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10378222/

26. https://www.mdpi.com/1099-4300/22/6/618/pdf
27. https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.17380
28. https://www.nature.com/articles/npjqi201621
29. https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.08325
30. https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.3c04584
31. https://patents.google.com/patent/US7284024B1/en
32. https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/10/7/2431/pdf
33. http://arxiv.org/pdf/0908.3351.pdf
34. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-023-45015-4
35. https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.11445
36. https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.05952
37. https://proceedings.mlr.press/v235/ozgul24a.html
38. https://quantum-journal.org/papers/q-2023-04-13-977/
39. https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.adi0204
40. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-023-33828-2
41. https://digibug.ugr.es/bitstream/handle/10481/98137/QDTpaper.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y
42. https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.00876
43. https://www.emergentmind.com/topics/quantum-boltzmann-machines-qbms
44. https://www.dwavequantum.com/resources/publication/quantum-boltzmann-machine/
45. https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevX.8.021050
46. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-023-43054-5
47. https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.11056
48. https://www.titech.ac.jp/english/news/2023/067612
49. https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.104.022403
50. https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.04416
51. https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.01125
52. http://diposit.ub.edu/dspace/bitstream/2445/112789/1/672356.pdf
53. http://arxiv.org/pdf/0905.2942.pdf
54. http://arxiv.org/pdf/1703.04131.pdf
55. https://qniverse.in/quantum-walks-algorithm/
56. https://quantumai.google/cirq/experiments/quantum_walks
57. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-024-51709-0
58. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quantum_walk
59. https://spj.science.org/doi/10.34133/icomputing.0097
60. http://arxiv.org/pdf/2407.02530.pdf
61. https://arxiv.org/abs/2506.01335
62. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41534-025-00977-3
63. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2212.01857.pdf

64. https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.013056
65. https://arxiv.org/abs/2508.20601
66. https://www.mdpi.com/1099-4300/20/8/583/pdf
67. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7513111/
68. https://www.quandela.com/resources/quantum-computing-glossary/nisq-noisy-intermediate-scale-quantum-computing/
69. https://thequantuminsider.com/2025/10/25/new-research-shows-decision-making-needs-both-quantum-and-classical-worlds/
70. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1566253522000951
71. https://drrobertcorkern.com/emergency-medicine/quantum-computing-in-critical-decision-making-frameworks/
72. https://www.bqpsim.com/blogs/aerospace-uncertainty-quantification
73. http://link.aps.org/pdf/10.1103/PRXQuantum.5.010305
74. https://drops.dagstuhl.de/storage/00lipics/lipics-vol284-fsttcs2023/LIPIcs.FSTTCS.2023.31/LIPIcs.FSTTCS.2023.31.pdf
75. https://www.dwavequantum.com/media/wakjcpsf/adv2_4400q_whitepaper-1.pdf
76. https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0508116
77. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0890540105001227
78. https://theory.cs.uni-bonn.de/ftp/reports/cs-reports/2005/85267-CS.pdf
79. https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/x9hw-xhvj
80. https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.106.042421
81. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2307.11395.pdf
82. http://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2021QS&T.....6b5013K/abstract
83. https://inspirehep.net/literature/2679378
84. https://www.ibm.com/quantum/blog/quantum-advantage-era
85. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2009.05580.pdf
86. https://arxiv.org/html/2401.01996v1
87. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1710.06051.pdf
88. http://arxiv.org/pdf/1912.08480.pdf
89. https://www.emergentmind.com/topics/noise-assisted-quantum-algorithm
90. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Monte_Carlo_method
91. https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/jt8s-hzhd
92. https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.04144
93. https://www.nature.com/articles/s43588-021-00178-w
94. https://pubs.aip.org/aip/jcp/article/142/2/024114/605213/A-pure-sampling-quantum-Monte-Carlo-algorithm
95. https://journals.jps.jp/doi/full/10.7566/JPSJ.94.053002?mobileUi=0
96. https://www.issp.u-tokyo.ac.jp/public/CQCP/Gaussian_QMC_lectures1.pdf
97. http://arxiv.org/pdf/0903.3465.pdf
98. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2302.12500.pdf

99. http://arxiv.org/pdf/1302.3143.pdf
100. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2203.07674.pdf
101. https://arxiv.org/html/2309.11403v2
102. http://arxiv.org/pdf/2206.08811.pdf
103. https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.09305
104. https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.03233
105. https://elib.dlr.de/200526/
106. https://blog.othor.ai/quantum-decision-theory-the-final-frontier-of-decision-intelligence-130a9f2ab308
107. https://quantum-journal.org/papers/q-2025-01-13-1588/
108. https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.02324
109. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quantum_algorithm
110. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1155/2023/5532174
111. https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3695053.3730992
112. https://gaper.io/ai-and-quantum-computing/
113. http://arxiv.org/pdf/2210.13608.pdf
114. https://research.adra.ac.id/index.php/quantica/article/view/1682
115. https://www.paloaltonetworks.com/cyberpedia/what-is-a-quantum-random-number-generator-qrng
116. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8248788/
117. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41534-025-00978-2
118. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0378437121002892
119. https://www.idquantique.com/random-number-generation/overview/
120. https://inspirehep.net/literature/2711955
121. https://arxiv.org/pdf/0708.1337.pdf
122. https://arxiv.org/html/2502.11412v1
123. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2307.14452.pdf
124. http://arxiv.org/pdf/2409.03744.pdf
125. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2405.14072.pdf
126. https://arxiv.org/html/2503.10302v1
127. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1904.02192.pdf